

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

(Constitutional Jurisdiction)
Constitutional Bench Matter

RECORDED IN

18 AUG 2025

CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION NO D-UBA/2025

M/s Amal Steel
Shop No. LY-4, Opp Fatima Manzil, Nrilyas Masjid
Ghanchi Para, Lyari Town,
Karachi.

Through authorized Attorney/Partner
Muhammad Sohail Gheewala S/o Muhammad Ismail. Petitioner

VERSUS

- 1. Federation of Pakistan**
Through its secretary,
Ministry of Finance, Economic Affairs,
Revenue & Statistics (Revenue Division),
Islamabad
- 2. Collector of Customs**
Collectorate of Customs Appraisalment (East),
Customs House, Karachi
- 3. Deputy Collector of Customs**
Collectorate of Customs Appraisalment (East),
Customs House, Karachi. Respondents

CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 (1) (a) (1) & (1) (c) OF
THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN, 1973



THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Present:

Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry

Mr. Justice Muhammad Jaffer Raza

C.P. No. D - 4131 & 4132 of 2025

[M/s. Amal Steel versus Federation of Pakistan & others]

Petitioners : M/s. Amal Steel through M/s. Ghulam Hyder Shaikh and Manzar Hussain Memon, Advocates.

Respondent 1 : Nemo.

Respondents 2-3 : Collector of Customs (East) and another through Sardar Zafar Hussain, Advocate, alongwith M/s. Kainat Larik and Aamir Ali Shaikh, Advocates.

Date of hearing : 25-08-2025

Date of decision : 25-08-2025

ORDER

Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry J. - The Petitioner entered G.D. No. KEWB-IB-13043-22-05-2025 (C.P. No. D-4131/2025) and G.D. No KEWB-IB-13044-22-05-2025 (C.P. No. D-4132/2025) under section 79 of the Customs Act, 1969 [Act] for warehousing imported goods-declared as "Prime Aluzinc Steel Coils, Grade". Upon examination of the goods under section 80 of the Act, a contravention of the Act was detected by the Customs, leading to Order-in-Original in both cases, dated 01-08-2025, whereby the Petitioner was held liable for misdeclaration and the goods were ordered to be confiscated *albeit* the Petitioner was given the option under section 181 of the Act to pay fine in lieu of confiscation. Against those orders, the Petitioner has preferred Customs Appeal No. K-1166/2025 and Customs Appeal No. K-1167/2025 before the Customs Appellate Tribunal.

Before us, the grievance of the Petitioner is that while said appeals are pending before the Customs Appellate Tribunal, the Collector of Customs has withheld orders on the Petitioner's application under section 84 of the Act for moving the goods to a bonded warehouse, and as a result the Petitioner is incurring

Di

demurrage charges day-to-day. On the other hand, counsel for the Customs submits that since orders for confiscating the goods have not been suspended by the Tribunal, the goods cannot be moved into bond until the Petitioner redeems the goods by paying duty, taxes, fine and penalty determined as per the Orders-in-Original.

Heard learned counsel. While the order of confiscation of subject goods is in appeal, counsel for the Customs has not cited any provision of the Act that requires the importer to pay the fine in lieu of confiscation of goods (under section 181 of the Act) before moving the goods to a bonded warehouse pursuant to section 84 of the Act. Rather, section 104 of the Act suggests that all charges payable in respect of goods can be paid within the time fixed for warehousing by section 98 of the Act. Needless to state that moving the goods to a bonded warehouse would not amount to delivery to the Petitioner, rather it is intended to mitigate demurrage charges being incurred by the Petitioner at the port.

In view of the foregoing, we dispose of ^{the} ~~this~~ ⁷ ~~these~~ ⁷ petitions by directing the Respondents 2 and 3 to process forthwith the Petitioner's application under section 84 of the Act for moving the subject goods (mentioned first above) into bond in line with section 86 of the Act.

Office shall place a copy of this order in the connected petition.

Sd/- Adnan Jaffer I. Chaudhry
Judge

Sd/- Muhammad Jaffer Roza
Judge



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

(Constitutional Jurisdiction)
Constitutional Bench Matter

18/AUG 2025

Presented on

CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION NO D- 4132 /2025

Additional Request (Writ)

M/s Amal Steel
Shop No. LY-4, Opp Fatima Manzil, Nrilyas Masjid
Ghanchi Para, Lyari Town,
Karachi.

Through authorized Attorney/Partner
Muhammad Sohail Gheewala S/o Muhammad Ismail. Petitioner

VERSUS

- 1. Federation of Pakistan**
Through its secretary,
Ministry of Finance, Economic Affairs,
Revenue & Statistics (Revenue Division),
Islamabad
- 2. Collector of Customs**
Collectorate of Customs Appraisalment (East),
Customs House, Karachi
- 3. Deputy Collector of Customs**
Collectorate of Customs Appraisalment (East),
Customs House, Karachi. Respondents

CONSTITUTIONAL PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 199 (1) (a) (1) & (1) (c) OF
THE ISLAMIC REPUBLIC OF PAKISTAN, 1973



THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH KARACHI

Present:

Mr. Justice Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry

Mr. Justice Muhammad Jaffer Raza

C.P. No. D - 4131 & 4132 of 2025

[M/s. Amal Steel versus Federation of Pakistan & others]

Petitioners : M/s. Amal Steel through M/s. Ghulam Hyder Shaikh and Manzar Hussain Memon, Advocates.

Respondent 1 : Nemo.

Respondents 2-3 : Collector of Customs (East) and another through Sardar Zafar Hussain, Advocate, alongwith M/s. Kainat Larik and Aamir Ali Shaikh, Advocates.

Date of hearing : 25-08-2025


Date of decision : 25-08-2025

ORDER

Adnan Iqbal Chaudhry J. - The Petitioner entered G.D. No. KEWB-IB-13043-22-05-2025 (C.P. No. D-4131/2025) and G.D. No KEWB-IB-13044-22-05-2025 (C.P. No. D-4132/2025) under section 79 of the Customs Act, 1969 [Act] for warehousing imported goods declared as "Prime Aluzinc Steel Coils, Grade". Upon examination of the goods under section 80 of the Act, a contravention of the Act was detected by the Customs, leading to Order-in-Original in both cases, dated 01-08-2025, whereby the Petitioner was held liable for misdeclaration and the goods were ordered to be confiscated *albeit* the Petitioner was given the option under section 181 of the Act to pay fine in lieu of confiscation. Against those orders, the Petitioner has preferred Customs Appeal No. K-1166/2025 and Customs Appeal No. K-1167/2025 before the Customs Appellate Tribunal.

Before us, the grievance of the Petitioner is that while said appeals are pending before the Customs Appellate Tribunal, the Collector of Customs has withheld orders on the Petitioner's application under section 84 of the Act for moving the goods to a bonded warehouse, and as a result the Petitioner is incurring

Di



demurrage charges day-to-day. On the other hand, counsel for the Customs submits that since orders for confiscating the goods have not been suspended by the Tribunal, the goods cannot be moved into bond until the Petitioner redeems the goods by paying duty, taxes, fine and penalty determined as per the Orders-in-Original.

Heard learned counsel. While the order of confiscation of subject goods is in appeal, counsel for the Customs has not cited any provision of the Act that requires the importer to pay the fine in lieu of confiscation of goods (under section 181 of the Act) before moving the goods to a bonded warehouse pursuant to section 84 of the Act. Rather, section 104 of the Act suggests that all charges payable in respect of goods can be paid within the time fixed for warehousing by section 98 of the Act. Needless to state that moving the goods to a bonded warehouse would not amount to delivery to the Petitioner, rather it is intended to mitigate demurrage charges being incurred by the Petitioner at the port.

In view of the foregoing, we dispose of ~~the~~^{these} ~~petition~~^{petitions} by directing the Respondents 2 and 3 to process forthwith the Petitioner's application under section 84 of the Act for moving the subject goods (mentioned first above) into bond in line with section 86 of the Act.

Office shall place a copy of this order in the connected petition.

Sd/- Adnan Jafar I. Chaudhry
Judge

Sd/- Muhammad Taffer Raza
Judge

SHABAN*



THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE COPY

(Signature)
(MUHAMMAD SARFARAZ)
I.C. / ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COPYING)

COPY APPLIED FOR ON 27-08-2015

FEES ESTIMATED ON 28-08-2015

ESTIMATED FEES DEPOSITED ON do

COPY MADE READY/CERTIFIED ON do

STAMP SUPPLIED ON do

COPY DELIVERED ON do

URGENT/NORMAL FEES: PAGE: 03 RS: 30

COPYING FEES: PAGE: RS:

COMPARING FEES: PAGE: RS: 1-

28/08/2015
TOTAL: RS: 306

COMPARED BY/
OFFICE ASSOCIATE

(Signature)
(MUHAMMAD SARFARAZ)
I.C. / ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (COPYING)