GOVERNMENT OF PAKISTAN CUSTOMS APPELLATE TRIBUNAL, KARACHI BENCH-I, 3RD FLOOR, JAMIL CHAMBERS, SADDAR, KARACHI

Baroge. - Mr. Muhammad Nadeem Qureshi, Member (Judicial-I), Karachi, Mr. Mohammed Yahya, Member (Technical-I), Karachi

Customs Appeal Nos.K-1049 to 1070/2015

He Collector of Customs.
Through the Deputy Collector of Customs (Group-III).
Model Customs Collectorate of Appraisement (West).
Customs House, Karachi.

Appellant

Versus

- M/s. Ahmed Crockery, First Floor, Rehmat Centre, Bara Market, Shahalam, Lahore
- 2. The Collector of Customs (Appeals): 81-C. Block-6, PECHS, Karachi

Respondents

Date of hearing

11.12.2015

Date of order

14.12.2015

Mir Amir Aamar Mir, A.C alongwith Mr. Ghulam Mustafa, A.O, present for the appellants.

Mian Abdul Salain Sajid, Advocate alongwith Mr. Farooq, Advocate, present for the respondents.

ATTESTED

JUDGMENT

MOHAMMED VAHYA, MEMBER (TECHNICAL-I). By this order we into to dispose of Customs Appeal Nos. K-1049 to 1070/2015 filed by interesting against the Order-in-Appeal Nos.10160 to 10181/15 dated 25.05.2015 passed by the Collector of Customs (Appeals) Karachi. These appeals have interesting at issue of law and facts therefore, being heard dealt with and good of simultaneously though this common order in the light of the judgment of the Honorable High Court of Sindh in Customs Reference No.157 of 2008, S.M. Naqi S/o Syed Muhammad Hussain, Karachi Vs Collector of Customs (Adj-I) and Others.

Brief facts of the case are that the appellant imported a consignment of misc goods from China and filed Goods Declaration No. KAPW-IIC99291-04-02-2014 for clearance of imported goods in terms of Section 79(1)(a) of the

Customs Act. 1969 and declared the description, classification, quantity, origin, weight and import value supported by the commercial invoice, packing list and bill of lading, RTA etc. The respondent opened their case, for exercise of jurisdiction under section 80 of the Customs Act, 1969 readwith Rule 438 of the Customs Rules, 2001 to dissatisfy the description, classification, quantity, origin, weight and import value.

The Collector of Customs, (Appeals) Karachi vide Order-in-Appeal Nos. Nos 10160 to 10181/15 dated 25.05.2015. The operative part of the order reproduced as under:

I have examined the case record. The appellants have primarily contended that goods were assessed and made out of customs charge about a year ago and that the respondents could not create recovery through "view message". The appellants have also cited order-in-appeal no. 9916, wherein recovery created through view message after clearance of goods without issuance of show cause notice under the relevant provision of law, was set aside by this forum. The respondent could not bring on record any new point of fact or law, or any other reasonable cause for this forum to deviate from previous decisions. Therefore, in line with previous orders, change of value and classification of goods after clearance, without issuing show cause notice is held to be arbitrary and accordingly set aside."

Being aggrieved and dis-satisfied with the impugned Order-in-Appeal the appellant filed the instant appeal before this Tribunal on the grounds incorporated in the Memo of Appeal.

On the last date of hearing Mr. Amir Aamar Mir, A.C alongwith Mr. Ghulam Mustafa, A.O, appeared on behalf of the appellant/department reiterated the contentions of the appeal and contended that in terms of the provisions of

PELL.

ATTESTED ion 80(2) of the Act, the imported goods and the documents related thereto can be examined / assessed even after clearance of the goods from the port, thus, the learned Collector (Appeals) findings in this regard are incorrect. He further ded that the as per explicit provisions of section 80(3) of the Act. the checking re-assessment is to be made without prejudice to any other action including the issuance of the show cause notice in terms of section 32 of the Act. Day being so, without re-assessment under section 80(3), the recoverable amount and be calculated and without such an amount it is not possible to issue a show cause notice under section32 of the Act. Thus, in view of the above, the learned Collector (Appeals) findings in para 3 of the impugned order are totally incorrect and against the law and procedure prescribed for the goods to be cleared under WeBOC System and without prejudice to the above in compliance of the learned Collector (Appeals) findings the show cause notice in terms of Section 32(2) read

with Section 32(1) and 79(1) of the Act is being issued. He prayed that the

impugned order-in-appeal may kindly be set aside and the subject appeal may kindly be allowed.

On behalf of the Respondent, Mian Abdul Salam Sajid, Advocate 06 alongwith Mr. Farooq, Advocate, appeared and contended that the appellant has not come up with clean hands before this augest forum since appellant has already forwarded the fresh contravention report in the office of Adjudication Collectorate against the respondent deliberately and knowingly that neither Collector Appeals directed the appellant to prepare and forward fresh contravention to adjudication Collectorate nor did this august forum pass such like orders. Thus, above facts alone are sufficed to prove the malafide on the part of appellant in the titled appeal. He further contended that it is brought to the kind notice of this august forum that on the one hand, appellant has filed the titled appeals in this Hon'ble Forum by taking stance that without issuing the show cause notices, appellant can proceed and adjudge the recovery against the respondents, on the other hand, appellant has also prepared and then forwarded fresh contravention report for adjudication purpose to concern Collectorate of Adjudication. Consequently show cause notices were also issued in this regard and respondents are facing proceeding in this regard at two forums for the same case meaning thereby appellant itself negating its own stance taken in titled appeal thus, after this factual position subject appeal cannot be maintainable at all. He also argued that it is trite law that admitted facts needs not to be proved meaning thereby department by preparing contravention report and then forwarding the same to adjudication

ATTESTE prorate tantamount to an admission and open acceptance that without show cause notice recovery cannot be adjudged. He prayed that the titled appeal may prefer the property be dismissed being not maintainable.

Inspute in our view the Order-in-Appeal passed by the Collector (Appeals) is absoluted in conformity with the provisions of Customs Act, 1969 as contained in T.OFP in Section 50, 32 and 179 of the Act. The appellant department is not empowered Section-80(3) of the Customs Act to reopen 'an out of charge' GD. The Importers file GDs under Section 79 and the Customs assess the same under Section 80 during the processing of GD. Once the assessment is finalized and the (i1) is cleared under Section-83 of the Act, it can only be reopened under Section-195 by the Board or the Collector within two years or an appeal must be filed under Section-193 within 30 days before the Collector Appeals or a Show-Cause Notice be issued under Section-32(3) of the Customs Act.

e have gone through the record of the case and heard parities to the

8. In the instant case the Customs did not undertake the right course of action and opted to reopen the GD by sending a view message, which action is not warranted under the Customs law. This appellate Tribunal came to know through the Preliminary Objection on Maintainability of the subject appeal filed by Respondent No. I that the appellant department had also forwarded a contravention report to the office of Adjudication Collectorate and Show-Cause Notice had also been issued in this regard. This action of the appellants renders this appeal infructuous as the right course of action has now been adopted by the Customs by referring the case to the Adjudication Collectorate. In light of the above discussions, the appeal is dismissed with no order as to cost.

o Order passed and announced accordingly

(MOHAMMED YAHYA)

Member (Technical-I)

Karachi

Member (Judicial-I)

Karachi

Member (Judicial-I)

Karachi

ATTESTED



CITICAL AL

Collecte & Controlled C & Con III MESTON WEST Nian Aban Salan Sejid to Min Davetta Idian I Quetta

Cus 16768/2015/A West

Assistant Registrar

Appellate Tribunal Karuchi Bench